

AARON D. FORD  
Attorney General  
Christopher M. Guy (Bar No. 15239)  
Deputy Attorney General  
State of Nevada  
Office of the Attorney General  
555 East Washington Avenue Suite 3900  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
(702) 486-3326 (phone)  
(702) 486-3773 (fax)  
Email: cguy@ag.nv.gov  
  
*Attorneys for Defendants  
Dr. Gregory Bryan and Dr. Romeo Aranas*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SALLY VILLAVERDE,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
ROMEO ARANAS, *et al.*,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-00921-GMN-EJY

**JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
TIME TO FILE THE JOINT PRETRIAL  
ORDER  
[THIRD REQUEST]**

Pursuant to Local Rule IA 6-1 and 26-3, Dr. Gregory Bryan and Dr. Romeo Aranas, by and through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney General, and Christopher M. Guy, Deputy Attorney General, and Plaintiff Sally Villaverde, by and through his counsel Erica C. Medley, Esq. hereby respectfully submit the following Joint Stipulation to Extend Time to File the Joint Pretrial Order for thirty (30) days to **August 30, 2022**.

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

The Parties settled this matter. This Court granted the Parties a 30-day extension of the Joint Pretrial Order deadline shortly after the Parties reached their settlement, which moved the deadline to July 30, 2022. *See* ECF No. 106. The Parties are currently performing necessary terms that will allow them to file a stipulation of dismissal and proposed order. An extension of time of the Joint Pretrial Order is warranted in order to provide the Parties the additional time required to file the Stipulation of Dismissal And Proposed Order to Dismiss.

1 **II. LAW**

2 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> provides:

3 (1) In General. When an act may or must be done within a specified time,  
4 the court may, for good cause, extend the time:

5 (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request  
6 is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or

7 (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to  
8 act because of excusable neglect.

9 Fed.R.Civ.P. 6(b)(1).

10 The United States Supreme Court has recognized, “Rule 6(b) gives the court *extensive*  
11 *flexibility* to modify the fixed time periods found throughout the rules, whether the enlargement is sought  
12 before or after the actual termination of the allotted time.” *Lujan v. Nat’l Wildlife Fed.*, 497 U.S. 871, 906  
13 n. 7 (1990) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted) (emphasis added); *see also Perez-Denison v.*  
14 *Kaiser Found. Health Plan of the Nw.*, 868 F. Supp. 2d 1065, 1079 (D. Or. 2012) (citing and quoting  
15 *Lujan*, 497 U.S. at 906). Further, this rule, like all the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is to be liberally  
16 construed to effectuate the general purpose of seeing that cases (and other disputed issues) are decided  
17 on the merits. *Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc.*, 624 F.3d 1253, 1258 (9th Cir. 2010). Regarding “Good  
18 cause,” it is a non-rigorous standard that has been construed broadly across procedural and statutory  
19 contexts. *Id.* (citing several circuits *Venegas–Hernandez v. Sonolux Records*, 370 F.3d 183, 187 (1st  
20 Cir.2004); *Thomas v. Brennan*, 961 F.2d 612, 619 (7th Cir.1992); *Lolatchy v. Arthur Murray, Inc.*, 816  
21 F.2d 951, 954 (4th Cir.1987)).

22 Consequently, requests for extensions of time made before the applicable deadline has passed  
23 should “normally ... be granted in the absence of bad faith on the part of the party seeking relief or  
24 prejudice to the adverse party.” *Ahanchian*, 624 F.3d at 1259 (quoting 4B Charles Alan Wright & Arthur  
25 R. Miller, *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 1165 (3d ed. 2004).

26 <sup>1</sup> LR IA 6-1(a): “A motion or stipulation to extend time must state the reasons for the extension requested  
27 and must inform the court of all previous extensions of the subject deadline the court granted.” Further, a  
28 “stipulation or motion seeking to extend the time to file an opposition or reply to a motion, or to extend the time  
fixed for hearing a motion, must state in its opening paragraph the filing date of the subject motion or the date of  
the subject hearing.” LR IA 6-1(c).

1 **III. ARGUMENT**

2 The Parties held a meet and confer on July 28, 2022, and discussed the additional time required  
3 in order to submit the Stipulation of Dismissal And Proposed Order. The Parties agree that an additional  
4 30 days should be sufficient time to file the Stipulation of Dismissal And Proposed Order.

5 The Parties have diligently worked to complete the necessary terms of the settlement in order to  
6 file the Stipulation of Dismissal And Proposed Order. However, the Parties require an additional 30-day  
7 extension of the Joint Pretrial Order deadline. This extension is not made in bad faith and will not  
8 prejudice either party. Additionally, this request is made before expiration of the July 30, 2022, deadline.  
9 Thus, they Parties need merely show good cause.

10 The United States Supreme Court and the Ninth Circuit have indicated that good cause should  
11 normally be found when a motion to extend is timely filed. *Lujan*, 497 U.S. at 906 n. 7; *Ahanchian*, 624  
12 F.3d at 1253. Indeed, that good cause should be liberally found is well established throughout the Circuits.  
13 *See Venegas-Hernandez*, 370 F.3d at 187; *Brennan*, 961 F.2d at 619; *Arthur Murray*, 816 F.2d at 954.  
14 Synthesizing the precedent to liberally find good cause, a leading treatise similarly suggests that district  
15 courts should normally grant extension requests, made before the deadline, in the absence of bad faith by  
16 the requesting party or prejudice to another party. 4B Charles Alan Wright, Arthur R. Miller & Adam N.  
17 Steinman, *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 1165, at 605–08 (2015).

18 Here, neither side is prejudiced by the additional time required. Good cause exists because the  
19 Parties are working diligently together to finalize this matter. An additional 30 days will provide the  
20 Parties sufficient time necessary to file the Stipulation of Dismissal And Proposed Order.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

1 **IV. CONCLUSION**

2 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b), the Parties submit this extension of time. The Parties agree that  
3 this extension is not submitted in bad faith, and that neither Party will be prejudiced by the new **August**  
4 **30, 2022 deadline**. Further, the Parties agree that the resolution of this matter constitutes good cause for  
5 this Court to grant the Parties extension request.

6 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES** that the  
7 deadline to file the Joint Pretrial Order be continued to **August 30, 2022**.

8  
9 DATED July 28, 2022.

DATED July 28, 2022.

10 AARON D. FORD  
11 Attorney General

12 /s/ Erica C. Medley  
13 Erica C. Medley Bar No. 13959  
14 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2<sup>nd</sup> FL  
Las Vegas, NV 89134  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

/s/ Christopher M. Guy  
Christopher M. Guy, Bar No. 15239  
555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

15  
16 **ORDER**

17 The Court, having reviewed the preceding Stipulation and Good Cause Appearing, **HEREBY**  
18 **ORDERS** that the deadline to file the Joint Pretrial Order be continued to **August 30, 2022**.

19  
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21  
22 DATED this 29th day of July 2022.

23  
24   
25 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**  
26  
27  
28